

May 14 2014

# Policy Applications for the Social Cost of Carbon

Jeffrey Hopkins

*Vice President for Policy and Analysis*



CENTER FOR CLIMATE  
AND ENERGY SOLUTIONS

C2ES.ORG



- **Independent, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization**
- **Working to advance strong policy and action to address the twin challenges of energy and climate change**
- **Founded in 1998 as the Pew Center on Global Climate Change**
- **Became C2ES in 2011**



- Policy Justification for Setting a Floor Price
  - Address allowance surplus mechanically
  - Maintain R&D and capital investment signals at proper minimum levels over time
- Potential interaction with complementary policies designed to do the same thing.
  - Where complementary policies direct actions, they depress carbon prices.



- The benefit-cost framework easily incorporates a social cost of carbon.
  - Regulations should not have a cost burden that exceeds the social cost of carbon.
  - However, the SCC is a marginal cost, and regulatory approaches aren't designed to be effective on the margin, especially over time.



- Should the US base regulatory stringency on global cost impacts?
- A potential time frame misalignment between the marginal social cost dollar and the marginal capital investment dollar.
  - The SCC reflects the present value of a sum of social costs over time from a single ton emitted today.
  - Long-term capital investments are based on the present value of a sum of expected returns over time.



CENTER FOR CLIMATE  
AND ENERGY SOLUTIONS

FOR MORE INFORMATION

[C2ES.ORG](http://C2ES.ORG)

[nigron@c2es.org](mailto:nigron@c2es.org)